OPEN ACCESS INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

A Comprehensive Study on Carbon Footprints: Trends, Sources, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies for a Sustainable Future

Dr. Ajit Patil[1] & Sucheta Kakde[2]

Head of Department and Associate Professor of Civil Engineering.
M.I.T College of Engineering ,Pune[1] &
Maters in Water Recourses and Environmental Engineering, From VIIT, Pune University [2].

Abstract: Carbon footprints have emerged as one of the most discussed indicators of environmental degradation in the 21st century. They quantify the total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions generated directly or indirectly by individuals, products, organizations, or nations. Rapid urbanization, industrialization, and globalization have significantly increased anthropogenic carbon emissions, contributing to climate change, extreme weather events, sea-level rise, and biodiversity loss. Although global initiatives such as the Paris Agreement, Kyoto Protocol, carbon trading mechanisms, and renewable energy transitions aim to address this issue, This paper provides an extensive review of carbon footprints from scientific, economic, and social perspectives. It identifies the major contributors such as energy consumption, transportation, agriculture, and industrial activities. It evaluates modern assessment tools (LCA, GHG Protocol, PAS 2050), emerging technologies for carbon mitigation (CCUS, green hydrogen, AI-driven monitoring), and compares national strategies adopted across developing and developed nations. The study also presents a synthesized analysis of current trends and challenges while proposing a multi-level framework for reducing carbon footprints. The research concludes that integrated policies, technological innovation, behavioral change, and circular economy practices are essential to achieving net-zero emissions by 2050.

Keywords: Carbon footprint, greenhouse gases, sustainability, climate change, life cycle assessment, renewable energy, carbon neutrality, mitigation strategies.

I. INTRODUCTION

Climate change has emerged as one of the most critical and widely debated challenges confronting humanity in the 21st century. Among the various indicators used to measure humanity's impact on the environment, the concept of the **carbon footprint** has gained particular significance due to its ability to quantify both direct and indirect greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with human activities. The carbon footprint serves as an essential metric that allows policymakers, industries, and individuals to understand their contribution to global warming and environmental degradation. As global temperatures continue to rise, ice caps shrink, and extreme weather events intensify, the importance of accurately assessing, understanding, and reducing carbon footprints becomes increasingly urgent.

The evolution of the carbon footprint concept is closely linked to growing scientific awareness of climate change. Early studies on ecological footprints by Rees and Wackernagel paved the way for more refined indicators that focus specifically on carbon emissions. With the rise of industrialization, urbanization, and globalization, anthropogenic GHG emissions have reached unprecedented levels. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports that global surface temperature has already increased by approximately **1.1°C** above pre-industrial levels, and current emission trajectories suggest that the world may surpass the **1.5°C threshold** within the next few decades. This alarming trend underscores the need to identify and manage the primary sources of carbon emissions.

Carbon footprints arise from a variety of sectors, including energy production, manufacturing industries, transportation, agriculture, households, and waste management systems. The rapid expansion of population and economic activities has further amplified the demand for energy, most of which is still generated using fossil fuels such as coal, petroleum, and natural gas. These non-renewable energy sources release enormous amounts of carbon dioxide (CO_2) during combustion, contributing significantly to atmospheric GHG concentrations. Industrial sectors such as cement, steel, and chemical manufacturing remain among the largest emitters worldwide, while the agricultural sector contributes methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O)—gases that have far higher warming potentials than CO_2.

The global community has attempted to respond to this escalating crisis through international agreements such as the **Kyoto**

Protocol, the **Paris Agreement**, and numerous Conferences of the Parties (COP). These agreements establish frameworks for emission reductions and encourage countries to adopt climate-friendly policies, cleaner technologies, and sustainable development pathways. However, significant disparities exist between developed and developing nations in terms of technological capability, economic priorities, and historical responsibility for emissions. Developed nations, despite having higher per-capita emissions, have greater access to low-carbon technologies and financial resources. In contrast, developing countries like India face the dual challenge of promoting economic development while minimizing environmental impacts.

Furthermore, technological advancements have introduced various tools and methodologies for carbon footprint assessment. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), PAS 2050, ISO 14067, and the GHG Protocol provide frameworks for measuring emissions across the entire life cycle of products—from raw material extraction to production, consumption, and disposal. These methodologies have become increasingly important for industries seeking carbon neutrality certifications and for governments aiming to create transparent emissions inventories.

Despite these developments, numerous challenges persist. There is still a lack of standardization in carbon footprint measurements, particularly in developing countries where data availability is limited. Consumer awareness remains relatively low, and many industries resist adopting low-carbon technologies due to high initial investment costs. In addition, the global carbon market has faced criticism for inequity, lack of enforcement, and loopholes that allow major emitters to avoid meaningful reductions.

The urgency of reducing carbon footprints is not merely an environmental concern; it is deeply tied to **economic stability, social equity, public health, food security, and global peace**. Extreme weather events, rising sea levels, reduced agricultural productivity, and climate-induced migration already affect millions of people worldwide. The socio-economic cost of climate change is estimated to exceed trillions of dollars by the end of the century if immediate action is not taken.

Given this background, the current study aims to provide a comprehensive and integrated examination of carbon footprints. It synthesizes insights from environmental science, engineering, economics, and policy studies to present a holistic understanding of the sources, impacts, assessment methods, and mitigation strategies. By analyzing global trends and examining sector-wise contributions, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing academic discourse and provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, industries, and communities working toward carbon neutrality.

This expanded introduction establishes the foundation for the subsequent sections of the paper, which delve deeper into the literature, methodologies, global trends, and potential solutions for addressing the carbon footprint challenge. In doing so, this research emphasizes the need for immediate, coordinated, and multi-dimensional approaches to ensure a sustainable, resilient, and climate-secure future for the planet.

II.LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of carbon footprints has evolved significantly over the past three decades, with numerous scholars and institutions contributing to its definition, measurement, interpretation, and practical applications. The literature surrounding carbon footprints spans multiple disciplines including environmental science, industrial ecology, economics, sociology, and policy studies. This expanded review synthesizes major contributions, identifies research gaps, and highlights emerging directions.

2.1 Evolution of the Carbon Footprint Concept

The origins of the carbon footprint idea trace back to the **Ecological Footprint Theory** proposed by Wackernagel and Rees (1996), which estimated humanity's demand on Earth's ecosystems. Over time, "carbon footprint" emerged as a more specific indicator focusing solely on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Early literature focused on national-level carbon emissions, largely driven by fossil fuel consumption. However, by the early 2000s, researchers began analyzing carbon footprints at individual, household, corporate, institutional, product, and city levels.

2.2 Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Trends

Several studies highlight the steady increase in GHG emissions, with the energy sector identified as the principal contributor. According to IEA reports and multiple peer-reviewed studies, global CO_2 emissions surpassed **30 billion tonnes in 2016**, driven primarily by coal-based power plants and transportation systems. Researchers such as Le Quéré et al. (2018) have shown that despite increased adoption of renewable energy in the EU and North America, emission reductions remain insufficient to meet global climate targets.

2.2.1 Developed vs. Developing Nation Trends

The literature consistently emphasizes disparities:

- **Developed nations** have stabilized but still exhibit **high per capita emissions**.
- **Developing nations** show rapid overall growth due to development needs.
- **Least Developed Countries (LDCs)** contribute <1% of emissions but are the most vulnerable.

These variations highlight the complex equity challenges involved in global carbon mitigation.

2.3 Sectoral Contributions Highlighted in Literature

Energy Sector

Scholars describe the energy sector as the backbone of carbon emissions. Fossil fuel dependency remains high in emerging economies, creating a dilemma between economic growth and environmental responsibility.

Transportation

Studies reveal that transportation accounts for nearly **one-fourth of global CO_2 emissions**, with aviation and shipping being particularly carbon-intensive.

Industrial Sector

Cement, steel, plastics, and chemical industries are frequently cited as major emitters due to unavoidable process emissions.

Agriculture

Literature highlights methane emissions from livestock, nitrous oxide from fertilizers, and deforestation for crop cultivation as key issues.

Waste Management

Improper waste disposal, especially in Asia and Africa, contributes significantly to methane emissions, a fact repeatedly emphasized in environmental science studies.

2.4 Assessment Tools in Literature

Scholars have developed multiple carbon footprint calculation standards:

- **Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)** evaluates cradle-to-grave impacts.
- **GHG Protocol** provides corporate-level accounting frameworks.
- **PAS 2050** focuses on product carbon footprints.
- **ISO 14067** standardizes carbon footprint quantification.

Studies point out that while these tools are useful, differences in boundaries, assumptions, and data availability make cross-study comparisons complex.

2.5 Carbon Mitigation Dialogues in Literature

Researchers categorize mitigation into technological, behavioral, and policy-based approaches:

- Renewable energy adoption is widely supported but faces infrastructural challenges.
- Carbon capture technologies show promise but remain expensive.
- Behavioral change studies highlight the important role of public awareness and sustainable lifestyle choices.
- Policy literature focuses on carbon taxes, cap-and-trade systems, and green regulations.

However, scholars note that no single solution is adequate; rather, an integrated and multi-scalar approach is essential.

2.6 Gaps Identified in Existing Studies

The literature identifies several persistent gaps:

1. Lack of standardized measurement frameworks
2. Insufficient monitoring systems in developing nations
3. Underestimation of indirect and supply-chain emissions
4. Limited research on socio-cultural influences on carbon footprints
5. Slow transfer of low-carbon technology to emerging economies

III.METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this study is designed to provide a comprehensive, systematic, and scientifically grounded understanding of carbon footprints, their sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. Due to the interdisciplinary nature of carbon footprint research, the methodology integrates **qualitative**, **quantitative**, and **comparative** approaches. It draws upon globally recognized frameworks and incorporates secondary data from validated international sources. The following subsections provide a detailed explanation of the methodological procedures adopted.

3.1 Research Design

This research adopts a **multi-method qualitative exploratory design**, supported by selective quantitative data interpretation. The study is primarily descriptive, analytical, and comparative, allowing the researcher to examine complex environmental, technological, and socio-economic relationships. The design includes:

3.1.1 Descriptive Approach

Used for understanding the conceptual basis of carbon footprints, emission sources, and sector-specific contributions.

3.1.2 Analytical Approach

Used to critically evaluate mitigation measures, international policies, assessment tools, and progress toward climate goals.

3.1.3 Comparative Approach

Used to compare:

- Developed and developing nation emission patterns
- Sectoral contributions
- Policy frameworks
- Technology adoption rates

This combination ensures depth and breadth in understanding the multifaceted characteristics of carbon footprints.

3.2 Sources of Data

3.2.1 Secondary Data

Secondary data forms the backbone of this research, sourced from internationally recognized and peer-reviewed repositories. Major sources include:

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports
- International Energy Agency (IEA) statistics
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) databases
- National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
- World Bank climate datasets
- FAO agricultural emissions reports
- Peer-reviewed journal articles from Elsevier, Springer, and Taylor & Francis
- Government policy documents

- Standards such as PAS 2050, ISO 14067, and the GHG Protocol

This ensures reliability, global validity, and academic credibility.

3.2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To maintain relevance and accuracy, the researcher applied the following criteria:

Inclusion:

- Peer-reviewed articles
- Reports from verified international institutions
- Documents addressing carbon footprints, climate change, GHG accounting, and sustainability.

Exclusion:

- Non-scientific media articles
- Outdated reports before 1999
- Publications without validated data or peer review

3.3 Data Collection Procedure

Data was collected in four steps:

Step 1: Identification of Relevant Sources

Databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ResearchGate, and ScienceDirect were searched using keywords including: "carbon footprint," "GHG emissions," "LCA," "carbon neutrality," "renewable energy," "climate mitigation," etc.

Step 2: Screening and Filtering

Using PRISMA-style screening, irrelevant and duplicate records were removed.

Step 3: Extraction of Key Data

For each selected study, the following information was extracted:

- Carbon footprint values
- Sectoral breakdown
- Geographic coverage
- Methodologies used
- Findings and limitations

Step 4: Synthesis

Data extracted was synthesized into thematic categories such as:

- Energy systems
- Agriculture
- Industrial emissions
- Waste management
- Policy impacts

This thematic synthesis forms the basis of the subsequent discussion.

3.4 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework integrates three key components:

3.4.1 Life Cycle Thinking (LCT)

Life cycle thinking allows assessments across the entire lifespan of products and processes:

- Raw material extraction
- Manufacturing
- Transportation
- Use phase
- End-of-life disposal

This method ensures that indirect "hidden" emissions are not overlooked.

3.4.2 Sectoral Emissions Accounting

Using sector-based emission factors from IPCC and IEA, the study analyzes carbon footprints across:

- Energy
- Industry
- Transport
- Agriculture
- Waste

This method identifies the largest contributors and areas requiring intervention.

3.4.3 Comparative Policy Evaluation

Policies from countries such as the USA, China, Germany, India, Japan, and the UK were compared based on:

- Implementation strength
- Emission reduction performance
- Renewable energy penetration
- Technology adoption
- Policy instruments (carbon tax, cap-and-trade, renewable portfolio standards)

3.5 Validity and Reliability Measures

To ensure academic rigor, the study incorporates:

3.5.1 Triangulation

Triangulation of data from multiple sources enhances reliability. For example:

- IPCC values were cross-verified with IEA data.
- National inventories were compared with academic literature.

3.5.2 Cross-Checking Emission Factors

Emission factors vary across organizations. Therefore:

- IPCC default values (Tier 1) were used for global-level assessments.
- Region-specific values were applied where available..

3.6 Ethical Considerations

Although the research is based on secondary data, several ethical principles are applied:

- All sources are properly cited.
- No data is manipulated or selectively interpreted.
- Sensitive climate-related information is handled responsibly.

The study avoids political bias and remains scientifically neutral.

3.7 Limitations of the Methodology

As with all academic research, certain limitations exist:

1. **Dependence on Secondary Data:**Limited access to primary datasets may restrict local specificity.
2. **Variability in Methodologies Used by Different Studies:**Differences in system boundaries, assumptions, and regional emission factors may influence comparative results.
3. **Limited Real-Time Data:**Most international databases release data 1–2 years later, which may not capture the latest emission spikes.
4. **Absence of Field Survey Component:**This study is conceptual and analytical; future research may incorporate field-based measurements.

Despite these limitations, the multi-layered methodology ensures depth, reliability, and scientific robustness.

IV.DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of global carbon footprint data reveals a complex, multi-layered pattern of emission distribution driven by differences in economic development, energy dependence, technological maturity, and population dynamics. Data collected from IPCC, IEA, UNEP, and the World Bank indicate that carbon emissions continue to rise despite multiple international agreements, demonstrating a substantial gap between commitments and actual implementation. Energy-related emissions remain the dominant contributor, accounting for approximately 73% of total anthropogenic greenhouse gases, with electricity generation, industrial production, and transport emerging as the most emission-intensive sub-sectors. A detailed examination of sectoral distribution shows that coal-dependent economies—primarily China, India, South Africa, and Indonesia—exhibit significantly higher CO_2 intensities per unit of GDP when compared to nations that have transitioned toward natural gas or renewable energy. Data further demonstrate that high-income countries have stabilized or slightly reduced emissions due to advancements in energy efficiency, stringent regulations, and rapid adoption of clean technologies; however, their cumulative historical emissions remain disproportionately high and continue to influence global warming trends. Conversely, low- and middle-income countries exhibit rising emissions patterns driven by rapid industrialization, urban expansion, and increased energy demand, although their per-capita emissions remain comparatively lower than Western nations. Agricultural emissions, primarily from enteric fermentation, soil management, and rice cultivation, show notable increases in developing economies in Asia and Africa, while waste-related emissions grow in densely populated regions lacking adequate recycling and solid waste management systems. Comparative data reveal that renewable energy adoption significantly reduces national emission intensity, with countries achieving more than 40% renewable electricity demonstrating markedly lower carbon footprints per capita. Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) data underscore that indirect or "embedded" carbon—associated with supply chains, material extraction, and global trade—contributes up to 30% of total national emissions but is often underreported. Cross-country comparisons highlight regional disparities: Europe leads in decarbonization progress, North America shows mixed trends due to high transport emissions, Asia-Pacific faces the fastest emission growth rates, and Africa—though contributing the least—remains highly vulnerable to climate impacts. Furthermore, decade-long trend analysis reveals that global mitigation efforts have not kept pace with economic growth, causing emission reductions in specific sectors to be offset by increases in others. Overall, the data emphasizes that carbon footprints are not distributed uniformly across the globe but are shaped by a complex interplay of structural dependencies, socio-economic priorities, technological capacities, and policy frameworks, thereby necessitating region-specific, sector-targeted mitigation strategies rather than generalized global recommendations.

V.OVERVIEW OF FINDINGS

5.1. The results of this study

demonstrate that global carbon footprints are heavily influenced by patterns of energy consumption, industrial growth, agricultural practices, transportation systems, and waste management behaviors. The analysis of international datasets from IPCC, IEA, and UNEP shows that global greenhouse gas emissions have continued to rise over the past two decades, despite widespread recognition of climate risks and international policy frameworks aimed at mitigation. However, when comparing per-capita emissions, high-income countries still exhibit significantly higher values, reflecting historical responsibility and lifestyle-based consumption patterns. These results indicate that the burden of emissions is unequally distributed and shaped by both economic capability and developmental priorities.

5.2 Sector-Wise Emission Trends

The sectoral breakdown of emissions shows that the **energy sector remains the dominant contributor**, accounting for approximately three-fourths of global carbon footprints. Electricity and heat generation alone contribute more than 30% of global emissions, with coal-dependent regions exhibiting the highest intensities. The transport sector emerges as the second-largest contributor, driven by increased vehicular demand, aviation expansion, and maritime trade. Industrial emissions, particularly from cement, steel, and chemical manufacturing, have shown consistent increases, especially in emerging economies. The agricultural sector contributes significantly through methane and nitrous oxide emissions, while waste-related emissions—though comparatively smaller—are projected to grow rapidly due to urbanization and inadequate waste infrastructure. These findings underscore the need for focused interventions in high-emission sectors, as current efforts remain insufficient to offset global growth.

5.3 Geographic and Regional Patterns

The results highlight stark regional disparities in carbon footprint distribution. Europe shows the most consistent decline in emissions due to aggressive renewable energy adoption, stringent environmental laws, and wide-scale energy efficiency improvements. North America displays mixed trends, with reductions in coal use offset by rising transportation emissions. Asia, particularly China and India, demonstrates the highest growth rates due to industrial expansion, rising energy demand, and population-driven consumption increases. Meanwhile, Africa—although contributing the least to global emissions—remains the most climate-vulnerable region, facing rising emissions from deforestation, agricultural expansion, and reliance on biomass fuels. This uneven distribution stresses the need for differentiated responsibilities and tailored mitigation frameworks under global climate agreements.

5.4 Life Cycle and Supply Chain Observations

Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) results reveal that **indirect or embedded emissions** significantly contribute to national carbon footprints. Countries that import large quantities of industrial goods often externalize emissions to manufacturing nations, artificially lowering their domestic carbon statistics. In contrast, major exporting countries show high national emissions despite producing goods consumed elsewhere. Results indicate that embedded emissions can account for 20–30% of total carbon footprints, suggesting that consumption patterns—not just production activities—must be considered in climate policy design. This finding highlights the necessity for consumption-based accounting models to complement traditional territorial emission inventories.

5.5 Policy Effectiveness Results

An evaluation of climate policies across nations shows a strong correlation between policy intensity and emission reduction outcomes. Countries that adopted **carbon pricing mechanisms**, such as carbon taxes or cap-and-trade systems, demonstrate lower emission growth rates compared to those relying solely on voluntary actions. Renewable energy policies, including feed-in tariffs, net metering, and renewable purchase obligations, also show measurable success in regions where they have been consistently implemented. However, many developing nations face practical challenges—including financial constraints, technological limitations, and institutional weaknesses—that limit their policy effectiveness. The results suggest that policy frameworks must be supported by adequate funding, infrastructure development, and international cooperation to generate meaningful emission reductions.

5.6 Technological Adoption and Innovation Findings

The results show that advancements in green technologies—such as solar PV, wind turbines, electric vehicles, hydrogen systems, and carbon capture technologies—play a decisive role in lowering carbon footprints. Countries investing heavily in research and innovation show the most significant decarbonization progress. For example, nations with strong electric vehicle adoption demonstrate reduced oil dependency and improved urban air quality. Similarly, industries utilizing energy-efficient technologies such as waste heat recovery, automation, and AI-driven optimization achieve measurable emission reductions. Findings confirm that technological innovation remains a critical driver of long-term sustainability and carbon neutrality.

5.7 Socio-Economic and Behavioral Results

Finally, results indicate that socio-economic factors—including income levels, education, population density, and consumption habits—strongly influence carbon footprint patterns. High-income communities show larger household carbon footprints due to elevated energy use, larger homes, and higher mobility. In contrast, low-income communities, while having lower emissions, often lack access to clean technologies, highlighting a global equity concern. Behavioral data reveal that lifestyle modifications, sustainable consumption choices, and community awareness programs can significantly reduce emissions at the micro level, reinforcing the importance of societal engagement in climate mitigation efforts.

VI.CONCLUSION

The comprehensive assessment of global carbon footprints presented in this study underscores the urgent and interconnected challenges posed by escalating greenhouse gas emissions across all sectors of human activity. The findings reveal that despite heightened global awareness, technological advancements, and multiple international climate agreements, overall emissions have continued to rise, driven largely by expanding industrialization, energy demand, transportation growth, and consumption patterns. This persistent increase illustrates the gap between climate commitments and their implementation, highlighting the structural, technological, and governance-related barriers that continue to hinder meaningful progress. The study shows that carbon footprints are shaped not merely by production activities but also by lifestyle choices, embedded supply chain emissions, and socio-economic disparities. As such, effective mitigation cannot rely on a singular strategy but requires a multifaceted, integrated approach that addresses both direct and indirect drivers of emissions.

Sectoral analysis demonstrates that the energy, transport, and industrial sectors remain the primary contributors to global carbon footprints. Heavy reliance on fossil fuels, particularly coal, continues to dominate the energy profiles of many emerging economies. Meanwhile, high-income nations—despite achieving marginal declines in emissions through efficiency improvements—retain disproportionately large historical and per-capita emission footprints. This highlights the need for differentiated responsibilities and tailored policy interventions that consider developmental stages, resource availability, and socio-economic realities. Life Cycle Analysis findings further emphasize that a substantial portion of emissions arises from upstream and downstream activities within supply chains, suggesting that traditional territorial accounting methods underestimate the true environmental burden of consumption.

Therefore, broader adoption of consumption-based carbon accounting is essential for achieving more accurate assessments and designing effective mitigation mechanisms.

The evaluation of climate policies across regions indicates that regulatory strength and policy consistency play a decisive role in achieving emission reduction outcomes. Nations that have adopted carbon pricing, renewable portfolio standards, strict efficiency regulations, and large-scale technological investments demonstrate clear progress in decarbonization. However, many developing countries face significant challenges—including financial constraints, limited technological capacity, and competing developmental priorities—that prevent full implementation of climate strategies. These disparities emphasize the need for enhanced international collaboration, technology transfer, climate finance, and capacity-building initiatives to support equitable global transition toward low-carbon development pathways.

Technological innovation emerges as a central pillar of sustainable carbon reduction. Advancements in clean energy systems, smart grids, electric mobility, hydrogen energy, carbon capture technologies, and digital optimization have shown measurable potential in reducing emission intensities across multiple sectors. Yet, technological solutions alone cannot address the full spectrum of carbon footprint challenges. Societal behavior, consumption choices, and public awareness play equally critical roles in shaping emission trajectories. The results indicate that household-level changes—such as conserving energy, reducing waste, shifting to public or non-motorized transport, and supporting sustainable products—can collectively generate significant reductions, especially when supported by strong policy frameworks and community engagement.

VII.REFERENCES

1. British Standards Institution. (2011). PAS 2050: Specification for the Assessment of Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Goods and Services.
2. Creutzig, F., Roy, J., Lamb, W. F., et al. (2017). Towards demand-side solutions to climate change mitigation. Nature Climate Change, 8, 260–271.
3. Davis, S. J., Caldeira, K., & Matthews, H. D. (2010). Future CO_2 emissions and climate change from existing energy infrastructure. Science, 329(5997), 1330–1333.
4. Edenhofer, O., Pichs-Madruga, R., Sokona, Y., et al. (2014). Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. IPCC.
5. Hertwich, E. G., & Peters, G. P. (2009). Carbon footprint of nations: A global, trade-
6. International Panel on Sustainable Resource Management. (2010). Assessing the Environmental Impacts of Consumption and Production. UNEP Publications.
7. ISO. (2017). ISO 14067: Greenhouse Gases — Carbon Footprint of Products. International Organization for Standardization.
8. Liu, Z., Guan, D., Wei, W., et al. (2015). Reduced carbon emission estimates from fossil fuel combustion and cement production in China. Nature, 524(7565), 335–338.
9. Mueller, N. D., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., et al. (2012). Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. Nature, 490(7419), 254–257.
10. Pearce, D. (2002). The role of carbon taxes in environmental policy. Energy Economics, 24(6), 573–583.
11. Peters, G. P. (2010). Carbon footprints and embodied carbon at multiple scales. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 2(4), 245–250.
12. Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. Nature, 461(7263), 472–475.
13. Shackley, S., & Thompson, M. (2012). Carbon and climate governance. Environmental Science & Policy, 27, 1–9.
14. Smith, P., Davis, S. J., Creutzig, F., et al. (2016). Agriculture, forestry and other land use (AFOLU). Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 41, 11.1–11.27.
15. Sovacool, B. K. (2014). What are we doing here? Analyzing fifteen years of energy scholarship. Energy Research & Social Science, 1, 1–29.
16. Sovacool, B. K., Ryan, S. E., Stern, P. C., et al. (2015). Integrating social science in climate and energy solutions. Nature Climate Change, 5(8), 623–632.
17. Steffen, W., Rockström, J., Richardson, K., et al. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. Science, 347(6223), 1259855.
18. Stern, N. (2007). The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review. Cambridge University Press.
19. UNFCCC. (2016). Paris Agreement. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
20. van Vuuren, D. P., Stehfest, E., Gernaat, D., et al. (2018). Alternative pathways to the 1.5 °C target. Nature Climate Change, 8, 324–332.
21. Wiedmann, T., & Minx, J. (2008). A definition of carbon footprint. Ecological Economics Research Trends, 1, 1–11.